

DEFENCE COMPANIES INDEX (DCI) ON ANTI-CORRUPTION AND CORPORATE TRANSPARENCY 2020

FINAL ASSESSMENT

CEA TECHNOLOGIES PTY LIMITED

The following pages contain the detailed scoring for this company based on publicly available information.

The table below shows a summary of the company's scores per section:

Section	Number of Questions*	Score Based on Publicly Available Information
1. Leadership and Organisational Culture	4	0/8
2. Internal Controls	6	0/12
3. Support to Employees	7	0/14
4. Conflict of Interest	4	0/8
5. Customer Engagement	7	0/14
6. Supply Chain Management	5	0/10
7. Agents, Intermediaries and Joint Ventures	10	0/20
8. Offsets	4	0/8
9. High Risk Markets	4	0/8
10. State-Owned Enterprises	N/A	N/A
TOTAL		0/102
BAND		F

*This column represents the number of questions on which the company was eligible to receive a score; i.e. where the company did not receive a score of N/A.



1. Leadership and Organisational Culture

 Question

 1.1. Does the company have a publicly stated anti-bribery and corruption commitment, which is authorised by its leadership?

 Score

 0

 Comments

 There is no evidence of a commitment to ethical or anti-bribery and corruption standards.

 Evidence



1.2. Does the company have a comprehensive anti-bribery and corruption policy that explicitly applies to both of the following categories:

a) All employees, including staff and leadership of subsidiaries and other controlled entities;

b) All board members, including non-executive directors.

Score 0

Comments

The company does not publish an anti-bribery and corruption or ethics and compliance policy.

Evidence



1.3. Does the board or a dedicated board committee provide oversight of the company's anti-bribery and corruption programme?

Score

0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company has a designated board committee or individual board member responsible for its anti-bribery and corruption programme.

Evidence



1.4. Is responsibility for implementing and managing the company's anti-bribery and corruption programme ultimately assigned to a senior executive, and does he or she have a direct reporting line to the board or board committee providing oversight of the company's programme?

Score

0

Comments

There is no evidence that a specific senior individual has ultimate responsibility for implementing and managing the company's anti-bribery and corruption programme.

Evidence



2. Internal Controls

2.1. Is the design and implementation of the anti-bribery and corruption programme tailored to the company based on an assessment of the corruption and bribery risks it faces?

Score 0

Comments

Question

There is no evidence that the company has a formal risk assessment procedure which is used to inform the company's anti-bribery and corruption programme.

Evidence



2.2. Is the company's anti-bribery and corruption programme subject to regular internal or external audit, and are policies and procedures updated according to audit recommendations?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not state that its anti-bribery and corruption programme is subject to audit or review.

Evidence



2.3. Does the company have a system for tracking, investigating and responding to bribery and corruption allegations or incidents, including those reported through whistleblowing channels?

Score

0

Comments

The company does not have a publicly stated procedure for dealing with bribery and corruption allegations, incidents or whistleblowing reports.

Evidence



2.4. Does the company have appropriate arrangements in place to ensure the quality of investigations?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company assures itself of the quality of its internal investigations.

Evidence



2.5. Does the company's investigative procedure include a commitment to report material findings of bribery and corruption to the board and any criminal conduct to the relevant authorities?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence of an investigative procedure which includes a commitment to report material findings.

Evidence



2.6. Does the company publish high-level results from incident investigations and disciplinary actions against its employees?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not publish any data on ethical or bribery and corruption investigations or disciplinary actions involving its employees.

Evidence



3. Support to Employees

 Question

 3.1. Does the company provide training on its anti-bribery and corruption programme to all employees across all divisions and geographies, and in all appropriate languages?

 Score
 0

 0
 Comments

 There is no evidence that the company provides anti-bribery and corruption training to its employees.

 Evidence

 No evidence found.



3.2. Does the company provide tailored training on its anti-bribery and corruption programme for at least the following categories of employees:

- a) Employees in high risk positions,
- b) Middle management,
- c) Board members.

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company tailors its anti-bribery and corruption training to employees based on an assessment of their role and exposure to corruption risk.

Evidence



3.3. Does the company measure and review the effectiveness of its anti-bribery and corruption communications and training programme?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company measures or reviews the efficacy of its anti-bribery and corruption communications or training programme.

Evidence



3.4. Does the company ensure that its employee incentive schemes are designed in such a way that they promote ethical behaviour and discourage corrupt practices?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company's incentive schemes incorporate ethical or anti-bribery and corruption principles.

Evidence



3.5. Does the company commit to and assure itself that it will support and protect employees who refuse to act unethically, even when it might result in a loss of business?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company commits to support or protect employees who refuse to act unethically.

Evidence



3.6. Does the company have a clear policy of non-retaliation against whistleblowers and employees who report bribery and corruption incidents?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not have a publicly available policy of non-retaliation against whistleblowers or employees who report bribery and corruption incidents.

Evidence



3.7. Does the company provide multiple whistleblowing and advice channels for use by all (e.g. employees and external parties), and do they allow for confidential and, wherever possible, anonymous reporting?

Score

0 Comments

There is no evidence that the company has either whistleblowing or advice channels.

Evidence



4. Conflict of Interest

Question	
4.1. Does the company have a policy defining conflicts of interest – actual, potential and perceived – that applies to all employees and board members?	
Score	
0	
Comments	
There is no evidence to suggest that the company has a policy on conflict of interest.	
Evidence	
No evidence found.	



4.2. Are there procedures in place to identify, declare and manage conflicts of interest, which are overseen by a body or individual ultimately accountable for the appropriate management and handling of conflict of interest cases?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence of procedures to manage conflict of interest or of their oversight.

Evidence



4.3. Does th	he company have a policy and procedure regulating the appointment of directors, employees or	
consult	tants from the public sector?	

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company has a policy regulating the employment of current or former public officials.

Evidence



4.4. Does the company report details of the contracted services of serving politicians to the company?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company reports details of the contracted services of serving politicians.

Evidence



5. Customer Engagement

5.1 Contributions, Donations and Sponsorships

Question

5.1.1. Does the company have a clearly defined policy and/or procedure covering political contributions?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company has a policy on corporate political contributions.

Evidence



5.1.2. Does the company publish details of all political contributions made by the company and its subsidiaries, or a statement that it has made no such contribution?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not disclose full details of its political contributions.

Evidence



5.1.3. Does the company have a clearly defined policy and/or procedure covering charitable donations and sponsorships, whether made directly or indirectly, and does it publish details of all such donations made by the company and its subsidiaries?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company has a policy or procedure covering charitable donations or sponsorships.

Evidence



5.2 Lobbying

Question

5.2.1 Does the company have a policy and/or procedure covering responsible lobbying?

Score

0 Comments

There is no evidence that the company has a policy and/or procedure on lobbying.

Evidence



1	5.2.2 Does the company publish details of the aims and topics of its public policy development and
	lobbying activities it carries out?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not publish any information on its lobbying aims, topics or activities.

Evidence



5.2.3 Does the company publish full details of its global lobbying expenditure?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not provide any details about its global lobbying expenditure.

Evidence



5.3 Gifts and Hospitality

Question

5.3.1 Does the company have a policy and/or procedure on gifts and hospitality to ensure they are bona fide to prevent undue influence or other corruption?

Score

0 Comments

There is no publicly available evidence of a policy or procedure on gifts or hospitality.

Evidence



6. Supply Chain Management

 Question

 6.1. Does the company require the involvement of its procurement department in the establishment of new supplier relationships and in the oversight of its supplier base?

 Score

 0

 Comments

 There is no evidence that the company requires the involvement of its procurement department in the establishment and oversight of its supplier base.

 Evidence

 No evidence found.



6.2	Does the company conduct risk-based anti-bribery and corruption due diligence when engaging or re-
	engaging with its suppliers?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not state that it conducts due diligence on its supply chain.

Evidence



6.3 Does the company require all of its suppliers to have adequate standards of anti-bribery and corruption policies and procedures in place?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company ensures that its suppliers have anti-bribery and corruption policies in place that meet a high standard.

Evidence



6.4 Does the company ensure that its suppliers require all their sub-contractors to have anti-corruption programmes in place that at a minimum adhere to the standards established by the main contractor?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company takes steps to ensure that the substance of its anti-bribery and corruption programme and standards are required throughout the supply chain.

Evidence



6.5 Does the company publish high-level results from ethical incident investigations and disciplinary actions against suppliers?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company publishes any data on ethical or anti-bribery and corruption investigations relating to its suppliers, or the associated disciplinary actions.

Evidence



7. Agents, Intermediaries and Joint Ventures

7.1 Agents and Intermediaries

Question

7.1.1 Does the company have a clear policy on the use of agents?

Score

0 Comments

The company does not have a publicly available policy covering the use of agents.

Evidence



7.1.2 Does the company conduct risk-based anti-bribery and corruption due diligence when engaging or re-engaging its agents and intermediaries?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not state that it conducts anti-bribery and corruption due diligence on its agents or intermediaries.

Evidence



7.1.3 Does the company aim to establish the ultimate beneficial ownership of its agents and intermediaries?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not aim to establish the beneficial ownership of its agents.

Evidence



7.1.4 Does the company's anti-bribery and corruption policy apply to all agents and intermediaries acting for or on behalf of the company, and does it require anti-bribery and corruption clauses in its contracts with these entities?

Score

0 Comments

The company does not include anti-bribery and corruption clauses in its contracts with agents and intermediaries.

Evidence



7.1.5 Does the company ensure that its incentive schemes for agents are designed in such a way that they promote ethical behaviour and discourage corrupt practices?

Score

0 Comments

There is no mention of incentive structures as a risk factor in agent behaviour.

Evidence



7.1.6 Does the company publish details of all agents currently contracted to ac	t with and on behalf of the
company?	

Score 0

Comments

The company does not publish any details of the agents currently contracted to act for or and on behalf of the company.

Evidence



7.1.7	Does the company publish high-level results from incident investigations and sanctions applied
	against agents?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company publishes any data on ethical or bribery and corruption related investigations, incidents or the associated disciplinary actions involving agents.

Evidence



7.2 Joint Ventures

Question
7.2.1 Does the company conduct risk-based anti-bribery and corruption due diligence when entering into and operating as part of joint ventures?
Score
0
Comments
There is no evidence that the company conducts anti-bribery and corruption due diligence on its joint ventures.
Evidence
No evidence found.



7.2.2 Does the company commit to incorporating anti-bribery and corruption policies and procedures in all of its joint venture partnerships, and does it require anti-bribery and corruption clauses in its contracts with joint venture partners?

Score

0 Comments

There is no evidence that the company commits to establishing or implementing anti-bribery and corruption policies or procedures in its joint ventures.

Evidence



7.2.3 Does the company commit to take an active role in preventing bribery and corruption in all of its joint ventures?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not commit to take an active role in preventing bribery and corruption in all of its joint ventures.

Evidence



8. Offsets

Question 8.1 Does the company explicitly address the corruption risks associated with offset contracting, and is a dedicated body, department or team responsible for oversight of the company's offset activities? Score 0 Comments There is no evidence that the corruption risks associated with offset contracting are addressed, and there is no evidence that a dedicated body, department or team is responsible for monitoring of the company's offset activities. Evidence



8.2 Does the company conduct risk-based anti-bribery and corruption due diligence on all aspects of its offset obligations, which includes an assessment of the legitimate business rationale for the investment?

Score 0

Comments

There is no evidence that the company has a due diligence procedure for its offset obligations.

Evidence



8.3 Does the company publish details of all offset agents and brokers currently contracted to act with and/or on behalf of the company?

Score

0 Comments

The company does not publish any details of the offset agents, brokers or consultancy firms currently contracted to act with and on behalf of the company's offset programme.

Evidence



8.4 Does the company publish details about the beneficiaries of its indirect offset projects?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not publish any details of its offset obligations.

Evidence



9. High Risk Markets

 Question

 9.1 Does the company have enhanced risk management procedures in place for the supply of goods or services to markets or customers in countries identified as at a high risk of corruption?

 Score
 0

 0
 Comments

 There is no evidence that the company acknowledges the corruption risks of operating in different markets.

 Evidence



9.2 Does the company disclose details of all of its fully consolidated subsidiaries and non-fully consolidated holdings (associates, joint ventures and other related entities)?

Score 0

Comments

The company does not publish a list of subsidiaries.

Evidence

0



9.3 Does the company disclose its beneficial ownership and control structure? Score Comments The company does not disclose any information about its beneficial ownership or control structure, nor a statement that no individual owns 25% or more of shares or voting rights. There is also no evidence that the company's beneficial ownership information is available on a global register such as OpenOwnership or that the company is registered in any markets outside of Australia. Evidence [3] Australian Securities & Investments Commission – Search for 'CEA Technologies' Accessed 27/08/2019 https://connectonline.asic.gov.au/RegistrySearch/faces/landing/SearchRegisters.jspx? adf.ctrlstate=103l5p7y7m_4 - Company Summary 😪 Name: CEA TECHNOLOGIES PTY LIMITED ACN: 059 951 183 ABN: 99 059 951 183 Registration date: 5/05/1993 Next review date: 5/05/2020 Former name(s): BLUEDINE PTY. LIMITED Status: Registered Type: Australian Proprietary Company, Limited By Shares Locality of registered office: FYSHWICK ACT 2609 Regulator: Australian Securities & Investments Commission View Summary (PDF)



9.4 Does the company publish a percentage breakdown of its defence sales by customer?

Score

Comments

The company does not publish any details about its customers; however it appears that the company primarily supplies the Australian military (the Navy in particular). There is also evidence to suggest that the company supplies other international customers. Since the company does not disclose this information directly to indicate its major customers, it receives a score of '0'.

Evidence

[1] Company Profile (Webpage)

Accessed 27/08/2019

https://www.cea.com.au/!Global/Directory.php?Location=CompanyProfile:CompanyProfile

The company continually endeavours to expand its reach into the international market and successfully exports to the USA, Europe, the Middle East and Pacific countries. A steady and continuous corporate growth has resulted in a corporate staff of almost 400 people located across its four facilities in Australia (Adelaide, Canberra [HQ], Melbourne and Perth) and one in the USA.

One of the company's greatest achievements came about in November 2010 when CEA delivered to the Royal Australian Navy (RAN) a world first - the first fourth generation Active Phased Array Radar (PAR) System to be brought into service anywhere in the world.

[2] CEA provides radar technology for Australia's new short range ground based air defence capability Accessed 27/08/2019

https://www.cea.com.au/News+Media/Attachments/2019-0004.pdf

Advanced radars designed and manufactured by Canberra-based Company CEA Technologies will be integrated with Australian designed and built vehicles.



10. State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs)

Question				
10.1 Does the SOE publish a breakdown of its shareholder voting rights?				
Score				
N/A				
Comments				
N/A				
Evidence				



10.2 Are the SOE's commercial and public policy objectives publicly available?

Score

N/A Comments

N/A

Evidence



10.3 Is the SOE open and transparent about the composition of its board and its nomination and appointment process?
Score
N/A
Comments
N/A
Evidence



10.4 Is the SOE's audit committee composed of a majority of independent directors?

 Score

 N/A

 Comments

 N/A

 Evidence



Question
10.5 Does the SOE have a system in place to assure itself that asset transactions follow a transparent process to ensure they accord to market value?
Score
N/A
Comments
N/A
Evidence



List of Evidence & Sources

No	Type (Webpage or Document)	Name	Downloa d Date	Link
1	Webpag e	Company Profile	27/08/201 9	https://www.cea.com.au/!Global/Directory.php?Location=CompanyProfile:CompanyProf ile
2	Webpag e	CEA provides radar technology for Australia's new short range ground based air defence	27/08/201 9	https://www.cea.com.au/News+Media/Attachments/2019-0004.pdf
3	Webpag e	Australian Securities & Investments Commission – Search for 'CEA Technologie s'	27/08/201 9	https://connectonline.asic.gov.au/RegistrySearch/faces/landing/SearchRegisters.jspx?_ adf.ctrl-state=103l5p7y7m_4